

Optimal Cloud-Clearing for AIRS Radiances Using MODIS

Jun Li, Chian-Yi Liu, Hung-Lung Huang, Timothy J. Schmit, Xuebao Wu, W. Paul Menzel, and James J. Gurka

Abstract—The Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) onboard the National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Earth Observing System's (EOS) Aqua spacecraft, with its high spectral resolution and radiometric accuracy, provides atmospheric vertical temperature and moisture sounding information with high vertical resolution and accuracy for numerical weather prediction (NWP). Due to its relatively coarse spatial resolution (13.5 km at nadir), the chance for an AIRS footprint to be completely cloud free is small. However, the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), also on the Aqua satellite, provides collocated clear radiances at several spectrally broad infrared (IR) bands with 1-km spatial resolution; many AIRS cloudy footprints contain clear MODIS pixels. An optimal cloud-correction or cloud-clearing (CC) algorithm, an extension of the traditional single-band N^* technique, is developed. The technique retrieves the hyperspectral infrared sounder clear column radiances from the combined multiband imager IR clear radiance observations with high spatial resolution and the hyperspectral IR sounder cloudy radiances on a single-footprint basis. The concurrent AIRS and MODIS data are used to verify the algorithm. The AIRS cloud-removed or cloud-cleared radiance spectrum is convolved to all the possible MODIS IR spectral bands with spectral response functions (SRFs). The convoluted cloud-cleared brightness temperatures (BTs) are compared with MODIS clear BT observations within AIRS cloud-cleared footprints passing our quality tests. The bias and the standard deviation between the convoluted BTs and MODIS clear BT observations is less than 0.25 and 0.5 K, respectively, over both water and land for most MODIS IR spectral bands. The AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectrum is also compared with its nearby clear BT spectrum, the difference, accounting the effects due to scene nonuniformity, is reasonable according to the analysis. The multiband optimal cloud-clearing is also compared with the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing; the performance enhancement of the optimal cloud-clearing over the single-band traditional N^* cloud-clearing is demonstrated and discussed. It is found that more than 30% of the AIRS cloudy (partly and overcast) footprints in this study have been successfully cloud-cleared using the optimal cloud-clearing method, revealing

the potential application of this method to the operational processing of hyperspectral IR sounder cloudy radiance measurements when the collocated imager IR data are available. The use of a high spatial resolution imager, along with information from a high spectral resolution sounder for cloud-clearing, is analogous to instruments planned for the next-generation Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES-R) instruments—the Advanced Baseline Imager and the Hyperspectral Environmental Suite. Since no microwave instruments are being planned for GOES-R, the cloud-clearing methodology demonstrated in this paper will become the most practical approach for obtaining the reliable clear-column radiances.

Index Terms—Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES)-R, Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), N^* cloud-clearing (CC), optimal cloud-clearing.

I. INTRODUCTION

THE Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) (<http://www-air.jpl.nasa.gov>) [1] on National Aeronautics and Space Administration's Earth Observing System (EOS) Aqua satellite is a high spectral resolution ($\nu/\Delta\nu = 1200$, where ν is the wavenumber and $\Delta\nu$ is the full-width half maximum of a channel) infrared (IR) sounder with 2378 channels. AIRS measures radiances in the IR region 3.74–15.4 μm , which can be used to estimate the vertical profiles of atmospheric temperature and water profiles [2] from the earth's surface to an altitude of 40 km with a horizontal resolution of 13.5 km at nadir. Due to its relatively poor spatial resolution, the chance for an AIRS footprint to be completely clear is less than 10% statistically [3]. How to get soundings (temperature and moisture vertical profiles) under cloudy skies becomes very important. There are several ways for handling clouds in the sounding retrieval process; one of the most effective ways is to perform cloud-removal or cloud-clearing (CC) on the AIRS cloudy radiance spectrum. The cloud-clearing does not require modeling of clouds and is, therefore, a cost-effective method of handling cloudy infrared scenes. For example, using microwave data for AIRS cloud-clearing [2] is considered very robust. One of the important questions is how to effectively perform cloud-removal or cloud-clearing for the AIRS cloudy footprints, while still retaining the single-footprint sounding gradient information for numerical weather prediction (NWP). Smith *et al.* [4] combine Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS IR clear radiances and AIRS cloudy radiances for cloud-clearing using the traditional single-band N^* approach [5]. In their approach, the N^* is determined from MODIS IR clear radiance at a single IR window (11 μm) band along with the AIRS cloudy radiances. Once N^*

Manuscript received December 26, 2004; revised March 3, 2005. This work was supported in part by the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) under contracts relating to the NOAA ABI/HES instrument study NA07EC0676 and in part by NAVY MURI Project N00014-01-1-0850. The views, opinions, and findings contained in this report are those of the authors and should not be construed as an official National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration or U.S. Government position, policy, or decision.

J. Li, C.-Y. Liu, and H. L. Huang are with the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706 USA (e-mail: Jun.Li@ssec.wisc.edu).

T. J. Schmit and W. P. Menzel are with the Office of Research and Applications, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Madison, WI 53706 USA.

X. Wu is with the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, University of Wisconsin–Madison, Madison, WI 53706 USA and also with the National Meteorological Center of China, 100081 Beijing, China.

J. J. Gurka is with the Office of System Development, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Spring, MD 20910 USA.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TGRS.2005.847795

is determined, the AIRS cloud-cleared radiances (CCRs) can be obtained by simply applying N^* to the cloudy radiances from the two adjacent AIRS footprints. In this paper, an optimal cloud-clearing method is developed to retrieve the AIRS clear column radiances by combining the multiband MODIS IR clear radiance observations and the AIRS cloudy radiances on a single-footprint basis. The definition of N^* [5] is used as the basis in the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing formulation. However, instead of using a single MODIS IR window spectral band for N^* [4], nine IR spectral bands are used simultaneously to determine N^* with the optimal approach. These bands are in the MODIS IR short-, middle- and longwave regions. The optimal cloud-clearing methodology is an extension of the traditional single-band cloud-clearing technique.

By combining collocated MODIS IR clear radiance observations and the AIRS cloudy radiance measurements, the clear column radiances for all AIRS channels can be retrieved by using the optimal cloud-clearing method. In order to make the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing effective, two adjacent AIRS cloudy footprints (a pair) will be used to retrieve one AIRS cloud-cleared radiance spectrum. For each partly cloudy AIRS footprint (defined as principal footprint in the center of 3×3 processing area), another adjacent AIRS cloudy footprint (defined as supplementary footprint) will also be used to form a pair for the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing. The CCR spectrum from the pair represents the clear column radiance spectrum of the principal footprint since the quality control (QC) is based on the comparison between the MODIS IR clear brightness temperature (BT) observations and the convoluted AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectrum with MODIS spectral response functions (SRFs) within the principal footprint. Therefore, the principal footprint must be partly cloudy to ensure that MODIS IR clear radiance observations exist, while the supplementary footprint can be either partly cloudy or overcast. Since the 3×3 box moves only by single pixel, each cloudy footprint will have a chance to be principal footprint in the cloud-clearing process.

A case from Hurricane Isabel is used for testing the algorithm. The MODIS clear BT observations within all successful cloud-cleared footprints are used for validating the AIRS cloud-cleared BTs convoluted to all the MODIS IR spectral bands with SRFs. The bias and the standard deviation between the convoluted cloud-cleared BTs and the MODIS clear BT observations are calculated; the bias and standard deviation is less than 0.25 and 0.5 K, respectively, for most MODIS IR spectral bands. Comparing with the traditional single-band N^* cloud-cleared BTs, the standard deviation was significantly reduced with optimal cloud-clearing for IR middlewave (water vapor absorption) and shortwave spectral regions, indicating the advantage of the multiband algorithm over the single-band approach. This is expected since the clear radiance used for the single N^* algorithm comes from the longwave based. The AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectrum is also compared with its nearby clear BT spectrum; the difference is reasonable, considering the effect of scene nonuniformity. It is found that approximately more than 50% of AIRS partly cloudy footprints (or more than 30% of AIRS cloudy footprints including overcast cloudy foot-

prints) in this study can be successfully cloud-cleared using the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing method. These positive results reveal the potential application of this method for the operational processing of hyperspectral IR sounder cloudy radiance measurements for atmospheric temperature, moisture and ozone sounding retrieval when IR imager data are available, or real-time processing of the MODIS/AIRS data from direct broadcast [6]. Note that the atmospheric sounding derived from the CCRs represents the clear profile information near the cloudy area. It cannot fully represent the profile within the active cloudy region where nearly saturated conditions are very common. Therefore, the use of the CCRs and their respective sounding are potentially subject to clear-sky bias and should be assimilated with caution.

Using MODIS to help AIRS subpixel cloud characterization and cloud property retrieval has been investigated [7]–[9]. These techniques that utilize AIRS and MODIS data are also applicable to the processing of data from the future operational Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) [10] and Hyperspectral Environmental Suite (HES) systems [11], [12] on the next generation of Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES)-R where no microwave sounding measurements are available.

Section II introduces the MODIS/AIRS collocation and AIRS cloud masking using the operational MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution. Section III describes the methodology for optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing. Section IV presents results and validation, and comparisons between the optimal cloud-clearing and the traditional single band N^* cloud-clearing. A discussion of issues affecting MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing is given in Section V. Future extensions and conclusions are summarized in Section VI.

II. MODIS/AIRS COLLOCATION AND AIRS CLOUD MASKING FROM MODIS

A. Collocation Between MODIS and AIRS Measurements

AIRS spatial coverage is provided by the scan head assembly, containing a cross-track rotary scan mirror and calibrators. The scan mirror has two speed regimes. During the first 2 s, it rotates at $49.5^\circ/\text{s}$, generating a scan line with 90 ground footprints, each with a $1.1^\circ/\text{s}$, generating a scan line with 90 ground footprints diameter field of view. During the remaining 0.667 s the scan mirror finishes the remaining $261^\circ/\text{s}$, generating a scan line with 90 ground footprints of a full revolution. The AIRS spatial distribution is used in the collocation between the MODIS and AIRS measurements, which is the first step for the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing. The MODIS pixels with 1-km spatial resolution are collocated within an AIRS footprint. Several collocation algorithms have been developed that are based on the scanning geometry of two instruments flown on the same satellite [13], [14]. With a set of AIRS earth-located observations, the footprint of each AIRS observation describes a figure that is circular at nadir, quasi-ellipsoidal at intermediate scan angles, and ovular at extreme scan angles. The diameter of the AIRS footprint at nadir is approximately 13.5 km. Depending on the angular difference between the AIRS and MODIS slant

TABLE I
MODIS SPECTRAL BAND SPECIFICATION. THE NUMBERS IN THIS TABLE ARE CITED FROM <http://modis.gsfc.nasa.gov/about/specs.html>

Primary use	Band	Bandwidth ¹	Spectral radiance ²	Required SNR ³
Land/Cloud/Aerosols Boundary	1	620-670	21.8	128
	2	841-876	24.7	201
Land/Cloud/Aerosols Properties	3	459-479	35.3	243
	4	545-565	29.0	228
	5	1230-1250	5.4	74
	6	1628-1652	7.3	275
	7	2105-2155	1.0	110
Ocean Color/Phytoplankton/Biochemistry	8	405-420	44.9	880
	9	438-448	41.9	838
	10	483-493	32.1	802
	11	526-536	27.9	754
	12	546-556	21.0	750
	13	662-672	9.5	910
	14	673-683	8.7	1087
	15	743-753	10.2	586
Atmospheric Water Vapor	16	862-877	6.2	516
	17	890-920	10.0	167
	18	931-941	3.6	57
19	915-965	15.0	250	
Primary use	Band	Bandwidth ¹	Spectral radiance ²	Required NEAT ⁴ (K)
Surface Temperature	20	3.660-3.840	0.45 (300K)	0.05
	21	3.929-3.989	2.38 (335K)	2.00
	22	3.929-3.989	0.67 (300K)	0.07
	23	4.020-4.080	0.79 (300K)	0.07
Temperature profile	24	4.433-4.498	0.17 (250K)	0.25
	25	4.482-4.549	0.59 (275K)	0.25
Cirrus Clouds/water vapor	26	1.360-1.390	6.00	150 (SNR)
	27	6.535-6.895	1.16 (240K)	0.25
Primary use	Band	Bandwidth ¹	Spectral radiance ²	Required SNR ³
	28	7.175-7.475	2.18 (250K)	0.25
	29	8.400-8.700	9.58 (300K)	0.05
Ozone	30	9.580-9.880	3.69 (250K)	0.25
Surface Temperature	31	10.780-11.280	9.55 (300K)	0.05
	32	11.770-12.270	8.94 (300K)	0.05
Temperature profile	33	13.185-13.485	4.52 (260K)	0.25
	34	13.485-13.785	3.76 (250K)	0.25
	35	13.785-14.085	3.11 (240K)	0.25
	36	14.085-14.385	2.08 (220K)	0.35

¹ Bands 1 to 19 are in nm, and bands 20 to 36 are in μm ; ² Spectral Radiance values are ($\text{W m}^{-2}\text{sr}^{-1}\mu\text{m}^{-1}$); ³ SNR = Signal-to-noise ratio; ⁴ NEAT = Noise-equivalent temperature difference

range vectors, a weight (ω) is assigned to each MODIS pixel collocated to AIRS: 1 if the MODIS pixel lies at the center of the AIRS oval, and 0 if at the outer edge. The collocation is mod-

eled correctly and the algorithm provides an accuracy better than 1 km, provided that the geometry information from both instruments is accurate.

B. AIRS Cloud Masking Using MODIS

Once the MODIS pixels are collocated with the AIRS footprints, the cloud properties within the AIRS subpixel can be characterized using the MODIS cloud mask, cloud phase mask [15], [16], and the MODIS classification mask [17]. The AIRS cloud mask, cloud phase mask, as well the cloud-layer information mask, can be generated from MODIS products with 1-km spatial resolution [7]. For each AIRS footprint, a clear coverage (0–1) is created by accounting for the percentage of MODIS pixels with confident clear and probably clear [18] within the footprint. Only confident clear MODIS pixels within the AIRS footprint are averaged for optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing; the averaged MODIS clear radiance for a given spectral band i within an AIRS footprint is obtained by

$$R_{M_i}^{clr} = \frac{\sum_{l=1}^{np} \omega_l R_{M_i}^{clr,l}}{\sum_{l=1}^{np} \omega_l} \quad (1)$$

where $R_{M_i}^{clr,l}$ is the radiance of confident clear pixel l , np is the number of confident clear pixels within the AIRS footprint, and ω_l is the weight of pixel l within the AIRS footprint as described in Section II-A.

III. METHODOLOGY OF OPTIMAL MODIS/AIRS CLOUD-CLEARING

Given that R_ν^1 and R_ν^2 are the AIRS cloudy radiance spectra as a function of wavenumber ν from two adjacent footprints (footprint 1 is the principal, while footprint 2 is the supplementary), assume that the two adjacent footprints have: 1) the same atmospheric temperature and moisture profiles; 2) the same surface skin temperatures and surface IR emissivity spectrum; and 3) the same cloud-top height, while the only difference is the effective cloud emissivity ($N\epsilon_c$, the product of the cloud emissivity, ϵ_c , and the fractional cloud coverage, N). The cloudy radiance spectra of the two adjacent footprints can be expressed as

$$R_\nu^1 = (1 - N^1 \epsilon_{\nu c}^1) R_\nu^{clr} + N^1 \epsilon_{\nu c}^1 R_\nu^{ovc} \quad (2)$$

$$R_\nu^2 = (1 - N^2 \epsilon_{\nu c}^2) R_\nu^{clr} + N^2 \epsilon_{\nu c}^2 R_\nu^{ovc} \quad (3)$$

where subscript c denotes the cloud, and R_ν^{clr} and R_ν^{ovc} are the clear and overcast column radiance spectra, respectively, for both footprints 1 and 2. Rewrite (1) and (2) as

$$R_\nu^1 - R_\nu^{clr} = N^1 \epsilon_{\nu c}^1 (R_\nu^{ovc} - R_\nu^{clr}) \quad (4)$$

$$R_\nu^2 - R_\nu^{clr} = N^2 \epsilon_{\nu c}^2 (R_\nu^{ovc} - R_\nu^{clr}). \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5), we have

$$\frac{R_\nu^1 - R_\nu^{clr}}{R_\nu^2 - R_\nu^{clr}} = \frac{N^1 \epsilon_{\nu c}^1}{N^2 \epsilon_{\nu c}^2} = N^*. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) defines N^* , which is independent of wavenumber assuming $\epsilon_{\nu c}^1 = \epsilon_{\nu c}^2$ (i.e., the cloud properties are the same for footprints 1 and 2). If N^* is known or estimated, then the AIRS CCR spectrum R_ν^{cc} can be retrieved by

$$R_\nu^{cc} = \frac{R_\nu^1 - R_\nu^2 N^*}{1 - N^*}. \quad (7)$$

The key question is how to determine N^* . In order to determine N^* , one needs to know the clear radiance at a certain wavenumber with good accuracy. Smith *et al.* [4] used the MODIS IR window spectral band (band 31, 11 μm) clear radiance together with the convoluted AIRS cloudy radiances with MODIS 11 μm SRF within the two adjacent footprints to determine N^* , i.e.,

$$N^* = \frac{f_{31}(R_\nu^1) - R_{M_{31}}^{clr}}{f_{31}(R_\nu^2) - R_{M_{31}}^{clr}} \quad (8)$$

where f_i is the SRF for MODIS IR band i , and $R_{M_i}^{clr}$ is the averaged observed clear radiance for MODIS IR spectral band i for the two adjacent AIRS footprints [see (1)]. Once N^* is determined by (8), the AIRS clear column radiance spectrum for this footprint pair then can be retrieved [see (7)]. Equation (8) is the traditional way to estimate N^* using a single IR window spectral band.

The N^* value determined from a single MODIS spectral band, using different MODIS IR window spectral bands, may result in different cloud-cleared spectra. The results are also highly dependent on the quality control (QC) for the single-band N^* cloud-clearing. In order to obtain an optimal N^* value and make the cloud-clearing result dependent on an objective quality control criterion based on all MODIS spectral bands, an optimal cloud-clearing methodology is developed. In the optimal MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing, the definition of N^* in (6) is still adopted. However, the N^* value is determined by simultaneously minimizing the differences between the MODIS IR clear radiance observations and the convoluted AIRS CCR spectrum with SRFs for all nine MODIS IR spectral bands within footprint 1 (the principal footprint).

The cost function for the optimal cloud-clearing is defined as

$$J(N^*) = \sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} [(R_{M_i}^{clr} - f_i(R_\nu^{cc})]^2. \quad (9)$$

Since the CCR spectrum, R_ν^{cc} , is a function of N^* [see (7)], (9) becomes

$$J(N^*) = \sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left[(R_{M_i}^{clr} - f_i \left(\frac{R_\nu^1 - R_\nu^2 N^*}{1 - N^*} \right)) \right]^2 \quad (10)$$

where σ_i is the radiance detector noise (NE ΔR) for MODIS band i . Given that the SRF f_i is a linear operator for MODIS IR spectral band, (10) becomes

$$J(N^*) = \sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left[(R_{M_i}^{clr} - \frac{1}{1 - N^*} f_i(R_\nu^1) + \frac{N^*}{1 - N^*} f_i(R_\nu^2)) \right]^2. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, the first-order derivative of $J(N^*)$ with respect to N^* can be derived from (11) as

$$\frac{\partial J(N^*)}{\partial N^*} = \frac{2}{(1-N^*)} \sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \left[R_{M_i}^{clr} - \frac{1}{1-N^*} f_i(R_\nu^1) + \frac{N^*}{1-N^*} f_i(R_\nu^2) \right] [f_i(R_\nu^1) - f_i(R_\nu^2)]. \quad (12)$$

The solution of N^* should minimize the cost function $J(N^*)$; therefore

$$\frac{\partial J(N^*)}{\partial N^*} = 0. \quad (13)$$

N^* then can be analytically solved from (13) as

$$N^* = \frac{\sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} [f_i(R_\nu^1) - R_{M_i}^{clr}] [f_i(R_\nu^1) - f_i(R_\nu^2)]}{\sum_i \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} [f_i(R_\nu^2) - R_{M_i}^{clr}] [f_i(R_\nu^1) - f_i(R_\nu^2)]}. \quad (14)$$

Once N^* is determined by (14), the cloud-cleared AIRS radiance spectrum, arbitrarily located at the location of the principal footprint, can be retrieved by applying N^* to (7). In this study, the 9 MODIS IR spectral bands 22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34 are used. Note that MODIS IR spectral bands 20, 23 and 27 are not used due to the convolution error introduced by the spectral gaps in the AIRS measurements, MODIS IR spectral band 21 is not used due to the large detector noise, while MODIS IR spectral IR bands 35 and 36 are not used due to their SRF calibration error [19].

Table I lists the MODIS spectral band specification. Fig. 1 shows the MODIS SRFs overlaid with an AIRS BT spectrum. Since AIRS does not have an $8.5 \mu\text{m}$ spectral region channel, MODIS band 29 is excluded in the determination of N^* . The advantage of (14) is that the multiple MODIS spectral bands are weighted in the N^* calculation. There are two weighting factors, the detector noise accounts for the observation error in the N^* calculation, while the radiance contrast between the two adjacent footprints accounts for the cloud height effect in the N^* calculation. For example, if the pair contains low clouds, the MODIS middle and upper level bands 33 and 34 will have less weight because $f_i(R_\nu^1) - f_i(R_\nu^2)$ is small; however, when the pair contains high clouds, bands 33 and 34 will influence the N^* calculation. Besides the cloud height effect, cloud fraction is another factor contributing to the spectral weighting that maximizes the information content of the optimal cloud-clearing approach.

IV. APPLICATION OF OPTIMAL MODIS/AIRS CLOUD-CLEARING

MODIS and AIRS data from Hurricane Isabel are used in the study. The AIRS cloud mask is derived from the MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution. A fractional coverage (0–1) is derived to represent clear (0) to cloudy (1) for a given AIRS footprint. The AIRS footprints or fields of view then can be classified as fully cloudy (overcast), partly cloudy, and clear. Only AIRS footprints classified as partly cloudy are used for cloud-clearing. The upper left panel of Fig. 2 shows the AIRS




Fig. 1. MODIS SRFs overlaid with an AIRS BT spectrum. The units of the abscissa and ordinate are wavenumber and brightness temperature, respectively.

BT image of channel 560 (833.206 cm^{-1}) on September 17, 2003 (AIRS granule 184); the presence of cold clouds is indicated by the blue (cold) colors. The lower left panel shows the AIRS coverage of clear, partly cloudy and overcast cloudy footprints derived from the MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution (see upper right panel). For comparison, the MODIS cloud phase mask with 1-km spatial resolution is also included (see lower right panel). It can be seen that most partly cloudy footprints contain water clouds. Note that the color bars have different definitions in the four panels.

Fig. 3 shows the diagram of the principal (center) footprint and its 8 surrounding supplementary footprints. The steps of optimal cloud-clearing are as follows.

- Step 1) For each partly cloudy AIRS footprint (principal footprint l), find its nearby cloudy footprint in any direction (maximum eight nearby cloudy footprints) k ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$).
- Step 2) For each pair (l, k), calculate $N^*(k)$, ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$) using (14).
- Step 3) Calculate $R_\nu^{cc}(k)$ ($k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, 8$) from (7).
- Step 4) Calculate $RES(k) = \sum_i (1/\sigma_i^2) [(R_{M_i}^{clr} - f_i(R_\nu^{cc}(k)))]^2$.
- Step 5) Find k_m , which makes $RES(k_m) = \min RES(k)$.
- Step 6) Apply quality control to the selected $R_\nu^{cc}(k_m)$ and calculate

$$\text{TBRMS} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{I} \sum_i [T_b(R_{M_i}^{clr}) - T_{bi}(f_i(R_\nu^{cc}(k_m)))]^2} \quad (15)$$

where I is the total number of MODIS spectral bands used for QC, T_{bi} is the function that converts the radiance to BT for MODIS spectral band i . The optimal cloud-clearing is successful only when $\text{TBRMS} < 0.5 \text{ K}$; otherwise the cloud-clearing for this principal footprint is rejected. The same 9 MODIS IR spectral bands used for N^* determination [see (14)] are also used also for the QC.




Fig. 2. (Upper left panel) AIRS BT image of channel 560 (833.206 cm^{-1} , approximately $12\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ on September 17, 2003, (upper right panel) the MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution, (lower left panel) the AIRS coverage of clear, partly cloudy, and overcast cloudy footprints, and (lower right panel) the MODIS cloud phase mask with 1-km spatial resolution.




Fig. 3. Diagram of the principal footprint and its eight surrounding supplementary footprints in the optimal cloud-clearing procedure.

The CCR radiance spectrum $R_v^{CC}(k_m)$ is the final clear column radiance spectrum for the cloudy footprint l (the principal footprint). The footprint index l starts from the first footprint to the last footprint of the AIRS granule. In order to make accurate CCRs, quality control must be applied to $R_v^{CC}(k_m)$. If the root mean square (RMS) difference between the MODIS IR clear BT observations and convoluted AIRS cloud-cleared BTs (from $R_v^{CC}(k_m)$) with MODIS SRFs within the principal footprint is greater than 0.5 K [as defined in (15)], the CCR spectrum is then rejected.

The optimal cloud-clearing method is applied to all AIRS footprints that are partly cloudy. The optimal cloud-clearing will not be performed if the number of MODIS clear pixels is less than 10% of the total number of MODIS pixels within this partly cloudy AIRS footprint. Fig. 4 shows BT images of MODIS band 28 ($7.3\text{ }\mu\text{m}$) convoluted from the AIRS clear footprints (upper left panel) and the one convoluted from AIRS clear plus successful cloud-cleared footprints (upper right panel); the MODIS clear BT observations with 1-km spatial resolution are also shown (lower right panel). It can be seen that cloud-cleared




Fig. 4. (Upper left panel) BT images of MODIS band 28 ($7.3 \mu\text{m}$) convoluted from the AIRS clear footprints, (upper right panel) the one convoluted from AIRS clear plus successful cloud-cleared footprints, (lower right panel) the MODIS clear BT observations with 1-km spatial resolution, and (lower left panel) the percentages of clear AIRS footprints, AIRS footprints with optimal cloud-clearing successful (CC-S), AIRS footprints with optimal cloud-clearing fail (CC-F), and the overcast AIRS footprints.

footprints fill many areas where clear AIRS radiances are not available, especially over Florida and Cuba, which illustrates that soundings are achieved over Florida and Cuba and their nearby oceanic areas from AIRS cloudy radiance measurements with help of high spatial resolution of MODIS. In addition, the CCRs are also available over land areas within the states of Alabama and Tennessee. The lower left panel of Fig. 4 shows the percentages of clear AIRS footprints, AIRS footprints with optimal cloud-clearing successful (CC-S) (pass the quality control), AIRS footprints with optimal cloud-clearing fail (CC-F), and overcast AIRS footprints (cloud-clearing will not be per-

formed). It can be seen that 22.6% of AIRS footprints (each AIRS granule contains 135 scan lines, each scan line has 90 footprints) are successfully cloud-cleared, or more than 30% of AIRS cloudy footprints are successfully cloud-cleared. Since only partly cloudy footprints are used for cloud-clearing attempts, the success rate is more than 50% in this particular case.

The traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing method is also applied; MODIS spectral band 31 ($11 \mu\text{m}$) is used for N^* determination while the 9 MODIS IR spectral bands 22, 24, 25, 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34 are used for quality control and a similar success rate of optimal cloud-clearing is achieved. Fig. 5 shows




Fig. 5. Bias and standard deviation between the MODIS clear BT observations and convoluted AIRS cloud-clearing BTs from all footprints with both the optimal cloud-clearing and the single-band N^* cloud-clearing successful.

the bias and standard deviation between the MODIS clear BT observations and convoluted AIRS cloud-clearing BTs from all footprints with cloud-clearing successfully performed. Results from traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach and optimal cloud-clearing method are shown in the figure. For optimal cloud-clearing method, the cloud-clearing bias for MODIS bands 22, 23, 25, and 30 through 34 are very small (less than 0.25 K); the bias for bands 24 and 28 are slightly larger but still less than 0.5 K. However, bias for bands 20, 27, 35, and 36 are relatively large (greater than 1.0 K) due to the convolution bias [19] mentioned above. The AIRS popping channels and/or the AIRS channel gap in the spectral region cause the convolution bias. MODIS bands 35 and 36 might also have an SRF calibration bias. Those biases are removable provided that the reliable estimates are available. The standard deviation is very small (less than 0.5 K) for almost all the MODIS IR spectral bands (only band 27 is slightly larger than 0.5 K), which indicates the good agreement between the MODIS clear BT observations and AIRS cloud-cleared BTs. For the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach, the bias between the MODIS clear BT observations and AIRS cloud-cleared BTs is similar to that from the optimal cloud-clearing method; however, the standard deviations for MODIS shortwave bands 20 through 23 are worse than that of the optimal cloud-clearing method. Traditional single-band N^* approach is better in longwave IR window region ($11 \mu\text{m}$) than the optimal cloud-clearing method because it uses MODIS $11\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ spectral band for N^* calculation. The two methods have similar performance for the other middle- and longwave spectral bands except for spectral band 32 for which the optimal approach performs better. Although the success rate of cloud-clearing is similar between the two methods, optimal cloud-clearing results are much closer to the MODIS clear observations for MODIS shortwave spectral bands. In the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing method, only band 31 ($11 \mu\text{m}$) is used for N^* calculation, and IR shortwave spectral

effects might not be fully accounted for in deriving N^* . With the optimal cloud-clearing method, 9 MODIS IR spectral bands are used simultaneously to balance the N^* spectrally, therefore, cloud-clearing results should be optimal when compared with the MODIS clear observations. W. L. Smith (personal communication) suggested using two N^* s in cloud-clearing (e.g., one N^* from MODIS spectral bands 22, 24, and 25 for AIRS IR shortwave, while the other N^* from MODIS spectral bands 28, 30, 31, 32, 33, and 34 for AIRS IR middlewave and longwave). Two N^* s should minimize the effects of co-registration errors and perform better than one N^* .

Fig. 6 shows the scatterplot between the MODIS IR clear BT observations and the convoluted AIRS cloud-cleared BTs with the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach and the optimal cloud-clearing method for MODIS spectral bands 22 (upper left), 25 (upper right), 27 (lower left), and 32 (lower right). Approximately 2400 AIRS partly cloudy footprints are included in the plot. It can be seen that the optimal cloud-clearing method creates less scatter and has a larger correlation with MODIS IR clear observations for MODIS IR spectral band 22 ($3.95 \mu\text{m}$).

Comparing the AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectrum and its nearby clear footprint BT spectrum also helps to evaluate the performance of cloud-clearing [3]. The upper and middle panels of Fig. 7 show the bias and standard deviation between the AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectra and their nearby clear footprint BT spectra over water where the atmosphere and surface are assumed homogenous between the two clear adjacent footprints. It can be seen that the standard deviation of AIRS cloud-cleared BTs is less than 1 K for most spectral regions. Part of the standard deviation is due to the atmospheric nonhomogeneity difference between the two adjacent footprints. The lower panel of Fig. 7 shows the root mean square difference (RMSD) between the two-adjacent clear AIRS footprint pairs over water of the entire granule. It can be seen that the BT difference can be 1 K in the shortwave spectral region due to the nonuniformity of the atmospheric and surface between the two adjacent clear AIRS footprints. Therefore, the actual standard deviation of the AIRS cloud-cleared BT should be much smaller than that shown in the middle panel of Fig. 7. Fig. 8 shows the MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution superimposed to the AIRS footprints from a small area over Florida (see the box on the upper left panel of Fig. 2), the footprints indicated by arrows are the principal and its supplementary cloudy footprints used for optimal cloud-clearing, and its nearby clear footprint for comparison. The lower panel of Fig. 8 shows the optimal cloud-cleared BT spectrum (red line) from the two adjacent cloudy BT spectra (black and green lines), as well as the nearby clear BT spectrum (blue line) (lower panel). It can be seen that the optimal cloud-cleared AIRS BTs are much warmer than those of the two adjacent cloudy footprints, indicating the successful removal of cloud effects in the optimal cloud-clearing. The cloud-cleared BT spectrum is also reasonably close to its nearby clear BT spectrum, differences in window region might be caused by the nonuniformity of surface skin temperature in the two footprints.




Fig. 6. Scatterplot between the MODIS IR clear BT observations and the convoluted AIRS cloud-cleared BT with the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach and the optimal cloud-clearing method for MODIS spectral bands (upper left) 22, (upper right) 25, (lower left) 27, and (lower right) 32.




Fig. 7. (Upper panel) Bias and (middle panel) standard deviation between the AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectra and their nearby clear footprint BT spectra along with the RMSD between the two-adjacent clear AIRS footprint pairs over the water of the granule.

It should be noted that this paper focused on algorithm improvement using the imager/sounder for cloud-clearing. We have demonstrated the advantage of optimal cloud-clearing over the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing. Comparisons between imager/sounder cloud-clearing and microwave/IR sounder for cloud-clearing is beyond the scope of this paper. Huang and Smith [3] have compared the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach with the operational Advanced Microwave Sounding Unit (AMSU)/AIRS cloud-clearing products. They found that MODIS is also very useful for the quality control of the operational AMSU/AIRS cloud-cleared BT spectrum. The imager/sounder cloud-clearing approach has the advantage that the infrared surface spectral information is implicitly being added to the calculation. If MODIS clear detection fails then the microwave approach has the advantage of not being susceptible to low uniform clouds.

V. DISCUSSION

Unlike using AMSU in AIRS cloud-clearing, an algorithm for AIRS cloud-clearing using MODIS data is developed.




Fig. 8. MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution superimposed to the AIRS footprints from a small area over Florida (see the box on the upper left panel of Fig. 2), and (red line) the optimal cloud-cleared BT spectrum from (black and green lines) the two adjacent cloudy BT spectra, as well as (blue line) the nearby clear BT spectrum (lower panel).

Since both the imager and sounder measure radiances at the same IR spectral regions, a direct relationship between an imager IR radiance and a sounder IR radiance spectrum for a given imager spectral band provides unique advantages for imager/sounder cloud-clearing. The advantages of imager/sounder cloud-clearing are: 1) it is easy to find imager clear pixels within the sounder footprint which is critical for the N^* calculation and the quality control on CCRs; 2) cloud-clearing can be achieved on a single-footprint basis (hence maintaining the spatial gradient information); and 3) imager IR clear radiances

provide tropospheric atmospheric information that enhances the effectiveness of cloud-clearing for IR sounder cloudy radiances. However, there are also limitations: 1) the cloud-clearing can only be done with partly cloudy footprints; 2) N^* has to be constant for the whole IR spectrum; and 3) the surface and the atmospheric profile must be homogeneous within the pair of two adjacent footprints. The cloud-clearing might fail when one of the above physical assumptions fails. For example, in the presence of ice clouds, the cloud-clearing might give spectrally inconsistent results due to N^* not being constant in

the IR spectral region. In addition, when the radiance contrasts in the IR window region is too small, N^* tends to be 1 and the cloud-clearing can amplify noise rather than remove the cloud effects on the measurements. However, all these failures will be filtered by the quality control procedure [see (15)]. The quality control is very important to assure that the final CCRs representing the clear part of the principal footprint fit the MODIS IR clear radiance observations in all IR spectral regions simultaneously.

Certain factors will also affect the cloud-clearing results. The operational MODIS cloud mask with 1-km spatial resolution used for determining the MODIS clear IR radiances within the principal footprint is critical for the success of the cloud-clearing. Only confident clear MODIS pixels should be selected to determine the MODIS IR clear radiances. The daytime MODIS cloud mask should be more accurate than the nighttime mask because of the use of visible and near-infrared bands. MODIS/AIRS collocation is also very important to assure the success of the cloud-clearing; mis-located MODIS clear pixels with the AIRS footprint may result in additional cloud-clearing errors. A reliable algorithm is necessary to provide good imager/sounder collocation. The time difference between the imager and sounder is an issue in cloud-clearing. Since MODIS and AIRS are in the same spacecraft, the time difference between MODIS and AIRS for a given AIRS footprint is small enough to assure the same clouds and atmospheric measurements are observed. The convolution error due to the AIRS spectral gaps or bad channels that are excluded in the calculation is another error source for MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing. Tobin *et al.* [19], [20] have estimated the bias due to the AIRS spectral gaps or bad channels; they found that window region bands 22, 31, and 32 have less bias, longwave CO₂ bands 33 through 36 have mean biases increasing to 1 K for band 36, and water vapor bands 28 and 27 have mean biases of 0.48 and 1.05 K, respectively. Those biases have not been taken into account yet when applying MODIS SRFs. The SRF and gap issues could be mitigated if a forward model for both instruments is used [20]. There are also other sources of error for MODIS/AIRS cloud-clearing such as MODIS and AIRS calibration errors that need to be considered when interpolating and using the MODIS/AIRS CCRs.

VI. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

In this paper, optimal cloud-clearing for sounder cloudy radiances using imager IR clear radiances has been successfully demonstrated by using AIRS and MODIS. About 30% of AIRS cloudy footprints (or 50% of the partly cloudy footprints) are successfully cloud-cleared with the help of MODIS high spatial resolution data. In the optimal imager/sounder cloud-clearing, the imager provides a cloud mask for sounder footprints while the multispectral imager IR provides clear radiance observations to synergistically determine N^* and to be used as quality control. The following conclusions can be drawn from this study.

- 1) Optimal imager/sounder cloud-clearing using multispectral imager IR spectral bands has advantages over the traditional single-band N^* cloud-clearing approach.
- 2) MODIS IR spectral bands 22, 24, 25, 28, and 30 through 34 are used to determine N^* and quality control; more than 30% cloudy footprints (or more than 50% of partly cloudy footprints) are successfully cloud-cleared with the help of MODIS. The success rate is above 50%.
- 3) The convoluted AIRS CCRs are compared with MODIS IR clear radiance observations. The bias is less than 0.25 K for most MODIS IR spectral bands, while the standard deviation is less than 0.5 K for almost all the MODIS IR spectral bands.
- 4) CCRs are compared with their nearby clear AIRS radiances. The standard deviation is within 1 K for most AIRS channels. Part of the standard deviation is due to the natural (atmospheric and surface) variability of the two clear adjacent footprints.

This work is very effective for cloud-clearing the AIRS footprints contaminated by water clouds. The approach could be employed on GOES-R with HES sounder and ABI (e.g., ABI 3.9, 6.15, 7.0, 7.4, 8.5, 9.73, 10.35, 11.2, 12.3, and 13.3 μm bands are critical for HES/ABI cloud-clearing). Future work will focus on cloud-clearing for footprints with multilayer clouds. For example, employing multilayer cloud-clearing approach developed by Susskind *et al.* [2] and using MODIS clear observations for high spatial resolution cloud-clearing could be of great potential. Other schemes [21]–[24] will also be infused into imager/sounder cloud-clearing. Impact of cloud-clearing on the subsequent atmospheric sounding retrievals will be investigated in near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank all colleagues who contributed to the MODIS and AIRS science work at CIMSS. W. L. Smith provided very useful suggestions on the cloud-clearing method. L. Guan helped testing the algorithms. D. Tobin provided Fig. 1. The associate editor and two reviewers' comments were of considerable help in improving the text.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. H. Aumann, M. T. Chahine, C. Gautier, M. D. Goldberg, E. Kalnay, L. M. McMillin, H. Revercomb, P. W. Rosenkranz, W. L. Smith, D. H. Staelin, L. L. Strow, and J. Susskind, "AIRS/AMSU/HSB on the Aqua mission: Design, science objectives, data products, and processing systems," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 253–264, Feb. 2003.
- [2] J. Susskind, C. D. Barnet, and J. M. Blaisdell, "Retrieval of atmospheric and surface parameters from AIRS/AMSU/HSB data in the presence of clouds," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 390–409, Feb. 2003.
- [3] H. L. Huang and W. L. Smith, "Apperception of clouds in AIRS data," in *Proc. ECMWF Workshop on Assimilation of High Spectral Resolution Sounders in NWP*, Jun. 28–Jul. 1 2004, pp. 155–169.
- [4] W. L. Smith, D. K. Zhou, H.-L. Huang, J. Li, X. Liu, and A. M. Larar, "Extraction of profile information from cloud contaminated radiances," in *Proc. ECMWF Workshop on Assimilation of High Spectral Resolution Sounders in NWP*, Jun. 28–Jul. 1 2004, pp. 145–154.

- [5] W. L. Smith, "An improved method for calculating tropospheric temperature and moisture from satellite radiometer measurements," *Mon. Weather Rev.*, vol. 96, pp. 387–396, 1968.
- [6] H. L. Huang, L. E. Gumley, K. Strabala, J. Li, E. Weisz, T. Rink, K. Baggett, J. Davies, W. L. Smith, and J. C. Dodge, "International MODIS and AIRS processing package (IMAPP)—A direct broadcast software package for the NASA Earth Observing System," *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, vol. 85, pp. 159–161, 2004.
- [7] J. Li, W. P. Menzel, F. Sun, T. J. Schmit, and J. Gurka, "AIRS sub-pixel cloud characterization using MODIS cloud products," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, vol. 43, pp. 1083–1094, 2004.
- [8] J. Li, W. P. Menzel, W. Zhang, F. Sun, T. J. Schmit, J. J. Gurka, and E. Weisz, "Synergistic use of MODIS and AIRS in a variational retrieval of cloud parameters," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, vol. 43, pp. 1619–1634, 2004.
- [9] J. Li, H.-L. Huang, C.-Y. Liu, P. Yang, T. J. Schmit, H. Wei, E. Weisz, and W. P. Menzel, "Retrieval of cloud microphysical properties from MODIS and AIRS," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, 2005, to be published.
- [10] T. J. Schmit, M. M. Gunshor, W. P. Menzel, J. Li, S. Bachmeier, and J. J. Gurka, "Introduction the next-generation Advanced Baseline Imager (ABI) on Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellites (GOES)-R," *Bull. Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, vol. 86, 2005, to be published.
- [11] J. J. Gurka and T. J. Schmit, "Baseline instruments planned for the GOES-R series," in *Proc. 13th Conf. Satellite Meteorology*, Norfolk, VA, Sep., 20–23 2004, Paper P1.5. Preprint.
- [12] J. J. Gurka, T. J. Schmit, and R. R. Reynolds, "Highlights from the second GOES users' conference: Recommendations for the GOES-R series," in *20th Int. Conf. Interactive Information and Processing Systems (IIPS) for Meteorology, Oceanography, and Hydrology*, Seattle, WA, Jan., 11–15 2004, Paper P2.1. Preprint.
- [13] F. W. Nagle, "The association of disparate satellite observations," in *Proc. 2nd Symp. Integrated Observing Systems*, Phoenix, AZ, Jan., 11–16 1998, pp. 49–52.
- [14] R. A. Frey, S. A. Ackerman, and B. J. Soden, "Climate parameters from satellite spectral measurements. Part I: Collocated AVHRR and HIRS/2 observations of spectral greenhouse parameter," *J. Clim.*, vol. 9, pp. 327–344, 1995.
- [15] M. D. King, W. P. Menzel, Y. J. Kaufman, D. Tanre, B. Gao, S. Platnick, S. A. Ackerman, L. A. Remer, R. Pincus, and P. A. Hubanks, "Cloud and aerosol properties, precipitable water, and profiles of temperature and water vapor from MODIS," *IEEE Tran. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 442–458, Feb. 2003.
- [16] S. Platnick, M. D. King, S. A. Ackerman, W. P. Menzel, B. A. Baum, J. C. Riedi, and R. A. Frey, "The MODIS cloud products: algorithms and examples from Terra," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 459–473, Feb. 2003.
- [17] J. Li, W. P. Menzel, Z. Yang, R. A. Frey, and S. A. Ackerman, "High spatial resolution surface and cloud type classification from MODIS multi-spectral band measurements," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, vol. 42, pp. 204–226, 2003.
- [18] S. A. Ackerman, K. I. Strabala, W. P. Menzel, R. A. Frey, C. C. Moeller, and L. E. Gumley, "Determination of clear sky from clouds with MODIS," *J. Geophys. Res.*, vol. 103, no. D24, pp. 32 141–32 157, 1998.
- [19] D. C. Tobin, H. E. Revercomb, C. C. Moeller, R. O. Knuteson, F. A. Best, and W. L. Smith *et al.*, "Validation of Atmospheric InfraRed Sounder (AIRS) spectral radiances with the Scanning High-resolution Interferometer Sounder (S-HIS) aircraft instrument," in *Proc. 9th SPIE Conf. Remote Sensing of Clouds and the Atmosphere*, vol. 5571, Maspalomas, Gran Canaria, Spain, Sep. 13–15, 2004.
- [20] D. C. Tobin, H. E. Revercomb, C. Moeller, and T. S. Pagano, "Comparison of infrared radiance observations from AIRS, MODIS, and CERES on EOS Aqua," *J. Geophys. Res.*, 2005, submitted for publication.
- [21] L. M. McMillin and C. Dean, "Evaluation of a new operational technique for producing clear radiances," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, vol. 21, pp. 1005–1014, 1982.
- [22] J. R. Eyre and P. D. Watts, "A sequential estimation approach to cloud-clearing for satellite temperature sounding," *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, vol. 113, pp. 1349–1376, 1987.
- [23] V. Cuomo, R. Rizzi, and C. Serio, "An objective and optimal estimation approach to cloud-clearing for infrared sounder measurements," *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, vol. 14, pp. 729–743, 1993.
- [24] R. Rizzi, C. Serio, G. Kelly, V. Tramutoli, A. McNally, and V. Cuomo, "Cloud clearing of infrared sounder radiances," *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, vol. 33, pp. 179–194, 1994.



Jun Li received the B.S. degree in mathematics from Peking University, Beijing, China, in 1987, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in atmospheric science from the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, in 1990 and 1996, respectively.

In 1997, he joined the Space Science and Engineering Center (SSEC), University of Wisconsin–Madison, where he was responsible for developing the algorithms to retrieve atmospheric and cloud parameters from the MODIS, NPOESS Airborne Sounder Testbed-Interferometer, and the AIRS measurements. His research interests include deriving atmospheric temperature and moisture profiles, as well as cloud properties from the hyperspectral sounder and the multispectral imager data. He is currently an Associate Scientist with SSEC and the Principal Investigator of future Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite Hyperspectral Environmental Suite and Advanced Baseline Imager studies at the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies.



Chian-Yi Liu received the B.S. degree in atmospheric sciences from the Chinese Cultural University, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C., and the M.S. degrees in atmospheric sciences and meteorology from the National Taiwan University, Taipei, and the University of Maryland, College Park, in 1994, 1996, and 2003, respectively.

He is currently an Assistant Researcher with the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, University of Wisconsin–Madison. He has been conducting research on the development of new algorithms for processing the data from the next generation of U.S. Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) imager and sounder systems, especially the algorithms for better atmospheric and cloud products by synergistical use of high spatial resolution imager and high spectral resolution sounder data.



Hung-Lung Huang was born in Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C., in 1955. He received the B.S. degree in atmospheric science from National Taiwan University, Taipei, in 1979, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in meteorology from the University of Wisconsin–Madison (UW), in 1986 and 1989, respectively.

From 1979 to 1984, he was a Satellite Meteorologist with the meteorological satellite ground station of the Taiwan Central Weather Bureau. Since 1989, he has been with Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies (CIMSS), UW, as a Research Scientist, conducting remote sensing research in the areas of atmospheric sounding retrieval, information content analysis, satellite and aircraft high spectral resolution sounding instrument data processing, data compression, instrument design and performance analysis, and cloud property characterization. He is currently a Senior Scientist with CIMSS, a GIFTS Mission Scientist, and an Adjunct Professor with the Nanjing Institute of Meteorology, Nanjing, China.

Dr. Huang is a science council member of CIMSS, a council member of the Space Science and Engineering Center of the UW, and is serving a two-year term as the Chair of Committee on Environmental Satellite Data Utilization of the National Research Council of the National Academies.



Timothy J. Schmit received the M.S. degree from the University of Wisconsin–Madison in 1987.

He is currently with the Advanced Satellite Products Team within the Office of Research and Applications, National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Madison, WI. His experience with satellite data and processing covers a number of areas, including calibration, simulations, and algorithms for processing satellite data into meteorological information, and he has

a lead role in some of the science applications for GOES-R. He has worked on deriving VAS retrievals/gradient winds from GOES-6/7, simulating the GOES-I (–8) instruments (both imager and sounder), calibration, deriving meteorological products from the current sounder and imager, and helping to prepare for the next-generation geostationary imagers (Advanced Baseline Imager) and sounders (Hyperspectral Environmental Suite). This work in preparation for GOES-R includes working on how requirements could be met, data distribution, calibration, ABI band selection, and simulating both the imager and sounder expected radiance signals via forward modeling and by modifying data from existing assets. Tim has extensive experience with data and deriving products from the current GOES imager and sounder data. Tim's interest on GOES-R is truly end-to-end, from the instruments, downlink, calibration, rebroadcast, distribution, archive/access, visualization, product generation, validations, and applications.



Xuebao Wu received the M.S. degree in marine physics from the Oceanic University of Qingdao, Qingdao, China, in 1988. She is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree at Peking University, Beijing, China.

She is currently an Associate Researcher with the National Satellite Meteorology Center, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing. Her research interests include satellite sounding and its applications. She is now a Visiting Scholar with the Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, University of Wisconsin–Madison.

University of Wisconsin–Madison.



W. Paul Menzel received the B.S. degree in physics from the University of Maryland, College Park, in 1967, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in theoretical solid-state physics from the University of Wisconsin–Madison (UW), in 1968 and 1974, respectively. His early work focused on calculating the band structure properties of alkali halides using the method of linear combinations of atomic orbitals in a Hartree-Fock formulation.

In 1975, he joined the Space Science and Engineering Center at UW, where he was among the first to explore the possibilities for remote sensing of the earth's atmosphere from a geosynchronous satellite. Specifications for the vertical temperature and moisture sounding capabilities of the Visible and Infrared Spin Scan Radiometer (VISSR) Atmospheric Sounder were the result of that work. In 1983, he joined the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Madison, to lead the Advanced Satellite Products Project, where he was responsible for the development, testing, and evaluation of procedures for deriving new atmospheric products from spaceborne observations, which focused on transferring advances made in the research laboratory to the operational weather forecaster. He is currently a Senior Scientist in the NOAA Satellite and Information Services where he is responsible for conducting and stimulating research on environmental remote sensing systems, fostering expanded utilization of those systems locally and globally, and assisting in the evolution of the NOAA polar orbiting and geostationary satellite holdings. He is a member of the MODIS Science Team working on algorithms to derive cloud top properties, atmospheric profiles, and column water vapor using infrared bands on MODIS. He is also an Adjunct Professor of atmospheric and oceanic sciences at UW.



James J. Gurka received a B.S. degree from the Lowell Technological Institute (now University of Massachusetts—Lowell), Lowell, in 1970, and the M.S. degree from The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, in 1970 and 1972, respectively, both in meteorology.

He joined the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIC), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Silver Spring, MD, in the summer of 1972, developing applications for meteorological satellite data (especially aviation applications), and teaching forecasters how to use the information. In 1982, he accepted a position as a Forecaster at the National Weather Service (NWS) Forecast Office, Boston, MA. During his tenure at Boston, he continued to focus on remote sensing applications, serving as the office's Satellite Program Leader, and the NEXRAD focal point. In 1994, he moved to NWS Headquarters, Silver Spring, MD, where he served as the NWS Satellite Program Manager and the Scientific Studies Program Manager. While there, he began documenting the NWS requirements for the GOES-R series, and he led the NWS Lake Effect Snow Study to determine the need for additional NEXRAD radar sites in the vicinity of the Great Lakes. In 2000, he returned to NESDIS to serve in his current position as the team lead for GOES-R requirements.